



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRS		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/025,183	12/19/2001	Jeffrey A. Von Arx	279.391US1	6387		
21186	7590 03/04/2004		EXAMINER			
	SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.			MANUEL, GEORGE C		
P.O. BOX 29 MINNEAPO	938 DLIS, MN 55402	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	•		3762			
		DATE MAILED: 03/04/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicati	on No. Applicant(s)					
		10/025,1	83	VON ARX ET AL.				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		George M		3762				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspond nce address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)🖂	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 June 2003.							
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b							
3)□								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-42 and 46 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 43-45 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to be	a) accepted or b) on to the drawing(s) he correction is requir	ne held in abeyance. See red if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	• ,			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen			0 □	(DTO 440)				
2) Notic	ee of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT or No(s)/Mail Date <u>4, 5</u> .		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/025,183

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-3, 5, 8-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Barreras '397 (of record).

Barreras discloses a near field antenna comprising inductor 30 and a far field antenna comprising internal antenna 11.

The examiner is interpreting an update command to comprise RF waves from coil 82 which are received by inductor 30.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4, 6, 7, 39, 40-42 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barreras '397 (of record).

Regarding claims 4 and 7, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to modify the antenna 11 of Barreras to comprise the features of a dipole or a circumferential antenna arrangement because these are two well known antenna configurations.

Regarding claim 6, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to incorporate the antenna as part of the therapy lead because the antenna needs to be exposed external of a steel enclosure and the therapy lead readily accepts the antenna without affecting the operability of the lead for stimulation or sensing and the lead must likewise be placed external the steel implantable enclosure.

Regarding claims 40-42 and 46, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to provide near and far field acknowledge signals because it is well known in communication protocol to provide such acknowledgement so it can be understood data transmitted was received.

Application/Control Number: 10/025,183

Art Unit: 3762

Allowabl Subject Matter

Claims 43-45 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Mass et al '045 disclose a far field radio frequency communications link for an implantable device.

Dettloff et al '628 disclose near, mid, and far field characteristic for antenna configurations usable in implantable devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Manuel whose telephone number is (703) 308-2118.

George Manuel Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3762

Page 4

3/1/04